



Federal Certification Rule Update Indiana Pesticide Review Board

Leo Reed Office of Indiana State Chemist

August 7, 2019

Need to Revise State C & T Rules

Revised EPA C&T regulations...March 6, 2017

State Plan must be submitted by March 6, 2020

State requirements must meet or exceed federal standards

• State will need to decide whether to:

- Adopt all federal regulations as state requirement by reference
- very messy from a compliance & enforcement perspective <u>OR</u>
- Revise state rules to meet or exceed federal regulations
- allows for customization to fit most existing state requirements

Topics to cover: 40 CFR 171.1 – 40 CFR 171.3

Age Limits

- Commercial Applicators
- Private Applicators
- Supervision
 - Restricted Use Pesticides (RUP)
- Restricted Use Pesticide Record Keeping
 Sales
 - •Use

Rules to consider revising or deleting

Age Requirements

 Applicable to commercial applicators (all commercial categories).

 Applicable to private applicators (farmers).

Age Requirements

 New Federal rule requires states can only certify individual over the age of 18.

- Certification is achieved by passing the exams.
- Adding an age to requirement to Exam Process (355 IAC 4-1-2.1) would meet the federal standard

Options for Indiana include:

1. Create a two tiered system:

- Use of RUPs-Certification required.
 - Anyone using any RUP anywhere
- Use of GUPs
 - Commercial for-hire
 - Schools (Public Applicator)
 - Community-wide mosquito control (Public Applicator)
 - Golf course (Not For Hire)

OR

2. Extend new federal requirements to <u>all</u> applicators, private and commercial, RUP & GUP.

Supervision (RUP) New Federal Requirements

Extensive yearly training for non-certified applicators

Record keeping of the training

 Training must be conducted by fully certified applicator or trainer who has completed a train the trainer course.

 Fully certified applicator must inspect each piece of equipment prior to use (daily).*

State Supervision Revision Adjustments (RUP) Under Consideration

- Require RUP use be conducted only by fully certified applicators or RT's with continuous physical presence of the CA on site.
- Currently supervision requirements exist in five different state rules.
- No substantive additions for General Use Pesticide (GUP) applications. Only simplification and consolidation.
 - Relax some requirements for commercial GUP use

Advantages & disadvantages

• Two tiered:

- RUP use by certified applicators only
- Focuses on more hazardous pesticides
- Consistent with recent EPA labeling for dicamba & paraquat & fumigants
- No onerous training required (for the non-certified person)
- No supervision, employee training, employee record keeping, daily equipment inspection by supervisor
- Flexibility to relax GUP supervision, if desired

Advantages & disadvantages

• One size fits all:

No distinction based on product hazard

 Current singular IN regulatory system developed 40 years ago when it was anticipated that most commercially used pesticides would eventually be classified as RUP by EPA...that has not occurred....however.....

More annual employee training & record keeping

- Strict supervision requirements, GUP or RUP, supervision violations?
- Differing supervision requirements product by product (dicamba, soil fumigants, paraquat), regardless of state rules

Advantages

 Potential relaxing of supervision requirements for GUP users

- Remove requirement for Site Assessment Fact Sheet for registered technicians (RT).
- Remove RT limit (10) for any one fully certified supervisor.

Allow RT to contact any fully certified responsible applicator

CURRENT RUP USES

Agriculture

- Numerous herbicides and insecticides
- Some already require certification
 - Dicamba
 - Gramoxone

CURRENT RUP USES

Aquatic One piscicide (rotenone)

Right of Way

One herbicide (Picloram)

Green Industry

- Golf course insecticide (Tempo GC)
- Tree Injection (Tree-age-RUP) (Tree-Age G4-GUP)

CURRENT RUP USES

Structural Pest Management

- Avitrol-(avicide)
- ZP tracking powder (rodenticide)

Fumigation

- All fumigants, certification is already required of all users
- Mosquito
 - No RUP used in Indiana

CURRENT USE RECORD REQUIREMENTS

Use record requirements exist:
RUP Commercial Use
RUP Private Applicator Use
Golf Course (GUP and RUP)
Schools (GUP and RUP)
Termiticide
Dicamba use (more requirements than standard RUP's)

STATE USE RECORDS Under Consideration

- Addition of elements that exist on some labels now
 - Weather (wind speed and direction)*
 - Start and stop time*
 - Diagram
 - Termiticide

*exists on labels now or is now EPA required

STATE USE RECORDS Under Consideration

Recordkeeping for all applications by licensee.

-currently required for schools -currently required for golf courses -currently required for termite applications

Dealer Records New Federal Requirements

Date of license expiration

Categories of certification

*Currently have dealer records in two separate state rules

Challenges to Implementation

- Lack of timely response from USEPA
 EPA Office of General Counsel interpretations
- Current regulatory climate
 Difficulty moving through the state rule adoption process
 - Updating exams, manuals and other support materials to reflect rule changes.